

INDEX

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
OPEN SESSION	
1. CALL TO ORDER	3
2. ROLL CALL	3
ACTION ITEMS	
3. DISCUSSION RE: CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR QUALIFICATIONS AND PERCENT EFFORT BASED ON SURVEY RESULTS.	5
DISCUSSION ITEMS	
4. PUBLIC COMMENTS	40
5. ADJOURNMENT	43
2	
-	

	BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152
1	MAY 23, 2022; 9 A.M.
2	
3	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: OKAY. I THINK I'D
4	LIKE TO CALL THE MEETING TO ORDER. HI, LINDA.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: DOUG, CAN YOU START THE
6	RECORDING PLEASE? I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE IT GETS
7	CAPTURED.
8	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: THANK YOU. SORRY
9	ABOUT THAT. I'D LIKE TO CALL THE MEETING TO ORDER.
10	MARIA, IF YOU WOULD CALL ROLL PLEASE.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAN BERNAL.
12	MR. BERNAL: PRESENT.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: GEORGE BLUMENTHAL.
14	DR. BLUMENTHAL: HERE.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA BOXER.
16	DR. BOXER: PRESENT.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: ELENA FLOWERS.
18	DR. FLOWERS: PRESENT.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: JUDY GASSON.
20	DR. GASSON: HERE.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD.
22	MR. JUELSGAARD: HERE.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: JIM KOVACH.
24	DR. KOVACH: HERE.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: LINDA MALKAS. ADRIANA
	3

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	PADILLA. JONATHAN THOMAS.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
4	MR. TORRES: HERE.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: KRISTINA VUORI.
6	DR. VUORI: HERE.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: AND I BELIEVE LINDA
8	MALKAS IS JUST JOINING US. WE HAVE A QUORUM.
9	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: GREAT. SO, FIRST OF
10	ALL, I'D LIKE TO THANK YOU ALL FOR JOINING US TODAY.
11	WE HAVE TWO HOURS BLOCKED OUT. AS MARIA JUST SAID,
12	THIS IS GOING TO BE A DISCUSSION TODAY OF THE ROLES
13	AND RESPONSIBILITIES WE'LL BE LOOKING FOR IN THE
14	NEXT CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR OF THE ICOC.
15	SO THE WAY THE MEETING IS GOING TO GO IS
16	THAT JAMES HARRISON WILL PRESENT THE RESULTS OF THE
17	SURVEY OF THE BOARD MEMBERS, AND THEN WE WILL HAVE A
18	DISCUSSION AMONG THIS GROUP ABOUT WHAT YOU ALL THINK
19	AS MEMBERS OF THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ARE THE
20	MOST IMPORTANT ATTRIBUTES. WE DON'T HAVE A BOARD
21	MEETING THIS MONTH, AND SO WE WILL HAVE ANOTHER
22	SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING BEFORE THE NEXT BOARD MEETING.
23	AND KRISTINA AND I AND ANYONE ELSE WHO'S INTERESTED
24	WILL ENDEAVOR TO SYNTHESIZE THE RESULTS OF TODAY'S
25	DISCUSSION INTO A DOCUMENT THAT WE CAN DISCUSS

4

1	FURTHER BEFORE PRESENTATION TO THE BOARD.
2	SO IF THERE ARE NO QUESTIONS, PERHAPS
3	JAMES COULD GO AHEAD AND PRESENT THE RESULTS OF THE
4	SURVEY.
5	MR. HARRISON: THANKS, JUDY. GOOD
6	MORNING, EVERYONE. MARIANNE, I THINK YOU ARE GOING
7	TO BE SHARING THE SCREEN. SO AS YOU WILL ALL
8	RECALL, THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE DRAFTED AND
9	DISTRIBUTED A SURVEY FOR CHAIR TO MEMBERS OF THE
10	BOARD AND ALTERNATES IN AN EFFORT TO GATHER
11	INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD'S VIEWS ON BOTH THE
12	QUALIFICATIONS FOR CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR; THE
13	PREFERRED MODEL, WHETHER BOARD MEMBERS THOUGHT THAT
14	THE CHAIR SHOULD FUNCTION IN MORE OF AN OPERATIONAL
15	ROLE OR MORE OF AN OVERSIGHT ROLE; AND THEN THE
16	PERCENT EFFORT THAT THEY BELIEVE WAS NECESSARY TO
17	CARRY OUT THE DUTIES OF BOTH CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR.
18	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
19	TRY THAT AGAIN. WHILE MARIANNE IS GETTING
20	THE SLIDES UP, I THOUGHT IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL JUST TO
21	REMIND MEMBERS OF WHAT THE CRITERIA ARE SET FORTH IN
22	STATUTE FOR THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR.
23	SO FOR CHAIR, THERE ARE FOUR MANDATORY
24	CRITERIA. THEY INCLUDE: A HISTORY OF SUCCESSFUL
25	ADVOCACY FOR STEM CELL RESEARCH OR OTHER VITAL
	5
	-

1	RESEARCH OPPORTUNITIES. EXPERIENCE WITH STATE AND
2	FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE PROCESSES, INCLUDING APPROVAL OF
3	MEDICAL RESEARCH FUNDING OR STANDARDS.
4	QUALIFICATION AS A PATIENT ADVOCATE ELIGIBLE FOR
5	BOARD APPOINTMENT. AND THAT CRITERIA REFERS TO THE
6	PATIENT ADVOCATE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. SO THE CHAIR
7	WOULD HAVE TO QUALIFY FOR APPOINTMENT IN ONE OF
8	THOSE CATEGORIES. SO THEY INCLUDE A CALIFORNIA
9	REPRESENTATIVE OF THE A REGIONAL, STATE, OR A
10	NATIONAL DISEASE ADVOCACY GROUP FOR SPINAL CORD
11	INJURY, ALZHEIMER'S, TYPE 2 DIABETES, MULTIPLE
12	SCLEROSIS, ALS, TYPE 1 DIABETES, HEART DISEASE,
13	CANCER, PARKINSON'S DISEASE, MENTAL HEALTH, AND
14	HIV/AIDS. AND THAT, FINALLY, THE CHAIR CANNOT BE
15	CONCURRENTLY EMPLOYED BY OR ON LEAVE FROM A RESEARCH
16	AWARD RECIPIENT OR APPLICANT. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
17	THERE ARE ALSO ADDITIONAL CRITERIA FOR
18	CONSIDERATION FOR CHAIR SET FORTH IN STATUTE. THESE
19	ARE NOT MANDATORY, BUT ARE CONSIDERED OF SOME
20	SIGNIFICANCE. THEY INCLUDE: GOVERNMENT AGENCY
21	EXPERIENCE. EXPERIENCE IN ESTABLISHING GOVERNMENT
22	STANDARDS AND PROCEDURES. LEGAL EXPERIENCE WITH THE
23	REVIEW OF GOVERNMENTAL AUTHORITY FOR THE EXERCISE OF
24	GOVERNMENTAL POWERS. AND DIRECT KNOWLEDGE AND
25	EXPERIENCE IN BOND FINANCE. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.

6

1	SO THE CRITERIA FOR THE VICE CHAIR ARE
2	VERY SIMILAR TO THAT OF THE CHAIR WITH ONE
3	EXCEPTION. THE MANDATORY CRITERIA FOR VICE CHAIR DO
4	NOT INCLUDE STATE AND FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE PROCESS
5	EXPERIENCE, BUT THE OTHER CRITERIA ARE APPLICABLE
6	FOR VICE CHAIR. AND THE STATUTE CONTEMPLATES THAT
7	THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS, IN MAKING NOMINATIONS,
8	WILL ATTEMPT TO BALANCE THE QUALIFICATIONS OF THE
9	CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR TO MEET THE AGENCY'S NEEDS.
10	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. SO AS I MENTIONED, THE
11	GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE DISTRIBUTED A SURVEY AND
12	ULTIMATELY RECEIVED 27 SURVEY RESULTS WHICH WE HAVE
13	SYNTHESIZED HERE. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
14	SO THE FIRST QUESTION ASKED ABOUT THE
15	MODEL THAT MEMBERS OF THE BOARD PREFERRED. AS YOU
16	WILL RECALL, THE STATUTE DELEGATES SOME OPERATIONAL
17	RESPONSIBILITIES TO THE CHAIR IN ADDITION TO
18	MANAGING THE BOARD'S AGENDA AND PROVIDING OVERSIGHT
19	OVER THE AGENCY. THE STATUTORY DUTIES INCLUDE
20	SUPERVISING CIRM'S ANNUAL REPORT AND PUBLIC
21	ACCOUNTABILITY REQUIREMENTS, MANAGING AND OPTIMIZING
22	THE BOND FINANCE PROGRAM, INTERFACING WITH THE
23	LEGISLATURE, CONGRESS, AND THE PUBLIC, AND
24	OPTIMIZING FINANCIAL LEVERAGED OPPORTUNITIES. SO
25	THOSE ARE THE SPECIFIC STATUTORY ROLES. OF COURSE,

1	THE DEGREE TO WHICH THE CHAIR CARRIES OUT THOSE
2	DIRECTLY AS OPPOSED TO SUPERVISING AND OVERSEEING
3	THOSE FUNCTIONS IS REALLY THE CRUX OF THIS QUESTION.
4	SO THE MODELS THAT WE PROPOSED TO THE
5	BOARD FOR CONSIDERATION WERE A PARTNERSHIP MODEL IN
6	WHICH THE CHAIR, THE VICE CHAIR, AND THE PRESIDENT
7	EACH CARRY OUT THEIR SPECIFIED OPERATIONAL ROLES AND
8	RESPONSIBILITIES. AND THEN THE SECOND OPTION WAS
9	THE MORE TRADITIONAL MODEL WHERE THE CHAIR, ON
10	BEHALF OF THE BOARD, PROVIDES LEADERSHIP AND
11	OVERSIGHT AND DELEGATES TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE THOSE
12	OPERATIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES TO CIRM TEAM MEMBERS.
13	SO THERE WAS A FAIRLY CLOSE SPLIT HERE
14	AMONG THE RESPONDENTS. AS YOU WILL SEE, 52 PERCENT
15	FAVORED THE PARTNERSHIP MODEL WITH 48 PERCENT
16	FAVORING THE MORE TRADITIONAL OVERSIGHT ROLE. THIS
17	IS CONSISTENT WITH THE 2010 SURVEY THE BOARD
18	CONDUCTED WHEN IT ASKED THE VERY SAME QUESTIONS. IN
19	FACT, IT WAS 47.5 PERCENT IN THAT CASE THAT
20	PREFERRED THE TRADITIONAL ROLE VERSUS THE
21	PARTNERSHIP MODEL. SO THE BOARD HAS HAD A
22	CONSISTENT AND CONSISTENTLY SPLIT VIEW ON THIS
23	QUESTION.
24	NEXT SLIDE PLEASE. WE ALSO ASKED A
25	QUESTION ABOUT THE PERCENT EFFORT THAT MEMBERS FELT
	8

1	WAS WARRANTED IN LIGHT OF THE CHAIR'S
2	RESPONSIBILITIES. AS YOU WILL SEE, 75 PERCENT
3	BELIEVE THE CHAIR SHOULD BE AT LEAST 70 PERCENT
4	TIME. SO THERE IS DEFINITELY A CONCENTRATION IN THE
5	70 TO 100-PERCENT TIME FRAME. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
6	WE ASKED THE SAME QUESTION ABOUT THE VICE
7	CHAIR, AND HERE TOO THERE WAS A CONCENTRATION,
8	ALTHOUGH LESS SO THAN WITH CHAIR. 59 PERCENT
9	BELIEVE THE VICE CHAIR SHOULD BE SOMEWHERE BETWEEN
10	50 AND 80 PERCENT. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
11	SO WE ALSO ASKED ABOUT THE DESIRABLE
12	ATTRIBUTES FOR A NEW CHAIR AND ASKED MEMBERS TO
13	SELECT THE ATTRIBUTES THAT THEY FELT WERE MOST
14	IMPORTANT. AND AS YOU WILL SEE, INTEGRITY, PASSION
15	AND ENTHUSIASM, LEADERSHIP, EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION.
16	COLLABORATION AND RESPECT FOR LEADERSHIP AND STAFF
17	LED THE PACK HERE. IN 2010 THE TOP QUALIFICATION
18	WAS COLLABORATION AND INCLUSIVENESS JUST FOR
19	COMPARISON PURPOSES. NEXT SLIDE PLEASE.
20	WE ASKED THE SAME QUESTION WITH RESPECT TO
21	THE VICE CHAIR. AND HERE THE RESULTS WERE SIMILAR.
22	INTEGRITY, PASSION AND ENTHUSIASM FOR MISSION,
23	EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATOR, COLLABORATIVE AND INCLUSIVE,
24	RESPECT FOR LEADERSHIP AND STAFF, AND THEN A STRONG
25	AND EFFECTIVE ADVOCATE IN ADDITION TO STATE

1	GOVERNMENTAL EXPERIENCE WERE AMONG THE TOP CRITERIA
2	THAT MEMBERS IDENTIFIED FOR VICE CHAIR.
3	AND THAT CONCLUDES THE SUMMARY OF THE
4	SURVEY. I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU
5	HAVE.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD HAS HIS
7	HAND RAISED.
8	MR. JUELSGAARD: JAMES, IF I'M READING THE
9	THIS RIGHT, IT'S THE LEGISLATIVE OR CONSTITUTIONAL
10	OFFICERS WHO NOMINATE INDIVIDUALS FOR THE POSITIONS
11	OF CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR?
12	MR. HARRISON: CORRECT.
13	MR. JUELSGAARD: AND SO THE QUESTION IS
14	YOU READ OUT THE MANDATORY REQUIREMENTS PER STATUTE.
15	BUT THE QUESTION IS IF A CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICER
16	EFFECTIVELY IGNORES SOME OR ALL OF THOSE
17	QUALIFICATIONS AND NOMINATES SOMEBODY WHO MAY NOT
18	MEET, WHAT HAPPENS IN THAT REGARD, IN THAT EVENT?
19	MR. HARRISON: WELL, SO FIRST, THE
20	CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR VETTING
21	THE CANDIDATES THEY NOMINATE AND DETERMINING THAT
22	THEY MEET THOSE CRITERIA. AND SOME DEFERENCE IS, OF
23	COURSE, ACCORDED TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS IN
24	CARRYING OUT THAT FUNCTION. AND IT'S ASSUMED TO BE
25	REGULARLY CARRIED OUT AND PERFORMED.

1	IF A CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICER WERE TO
2	NOMINATE A CANDIDATE WHO FAILED TO SATISFY, WHO
3	OBJECTIVELY FAILED TO SATISFY ONE OF THOSE MANDATORY
4	REQUIREMENTS, THEN THE BOARD COULD DECLINE TO ELECT
5	THAT PERSON. THE BOARD COULD DECIDE TO SEND THE
6	NOMINATION BACK AND REQUEST A NEW NOMINEE. OR IF
7	THE BOARD MOVED FORWARD TO ELECT SUCH AN INDIVIDUAL,
8	THERE COULD CONCEIVABLY BE A CHALLENGE TO THE
9	PERSON'S QUALIFICATIONS FOR THE OFFICE.
10	MR. JUELSGAARD: OKAY. THANK YOU.
11	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: I DON'T SEE ANY OTHER
12	HANDS RAISED AT THIS TIME.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: NO.
14	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: OKAY. SO WHY DON'T
15	WE DISCUSS THESE IN THE ORDER IN WHICH JAMES
16	PRESENTED THEM. AND SO THE FIRST QUESTION WOULD BE
17	THE PARTNERSHIP MODEL VERSUS THE TRADITIONAL
18	OVERSIGHT MODEL. AND IT'S INTERESTING IN BOTH 2010
19	AND IN THIS SURVEY THE BOARD MEMBERS WERE FAIRLY
20	EVENLY SPLIT. SO WHAT IS THE SENSE OF THIS
21	SUBCOMMITTEE IN TERMS OF THESE TWO POTENTIAL MODELS?
22	J.T., I SEE YOUR HAND.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, JUDY. JAMES,
24	THANKS VERY MUCH AS ALWAYS FOR PUTTING ALL THE
25	MATERIAL TOGETHER. VERY, VERY HELPFUL AND
	11
	<u>++</u>

1	ENLIGHTENING.
2	ON THIS QUESTION, IT'S INTERESTING BECAUSE
3	THE, WITHOUT SAYING WHICH WAY TO GO WAS BETTER,
4	SITUATION IN 2010 AND 2022 VIS-A-VIS THE INTERACTION
5	OF THE CHAIR AND OPERATIONS WAS VERY DIFFERENT. IN
6	THE EARLIER DAYS, THE CHAIR HAD CONSIDERABLY MORE TO
7	DO WITH OPERATIONAL ISSUES, AND IN 2022 THAT
8	SITUATION IS SUBSTANTIALLY DIFFERENT.
9	ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I DID WAS TO REALLY
10	TAKE AWAY A LOT OF THE OPERATIONAL ELEMENTS OF WHAT
11	THE CHAIR WAS INVOLVED IN AND HAVING THE TEAM RUN
12	THINGS FOR THE MOST PART. HAVING SAID THAT, THERE
13	WERE A NUMBER OF THINGS I WAS STILL INVOLVED IN THAT
14	HAD TO DO WITH SOME OF THE MANDATORY CRITERIA, SUCH
15	AS OVERSEEING ALL THE BOND FINANCING AND ANY
16	FINANCING RELATED ITEMS, THAT SORT OF THING; BUT BY
17	AND LARGE, AS OF 2022, IT IS A PARTNERSHIP MODEL,
18	BUT MUCH MORE OF A SITUATION WHERE THE TEAM IS
19	REALLY RUNNING THE OPERATIONAL SHOW.
20	SO HAVING SAID THAT, IT'S INTERESTING THAT
21	THE SURVEY RESULTS WERE PRETTY MUCH THE SAME, WHICH
22	I'M NOT QUITE SURE HOW THAT WAS THE CASE. BUT IN
23	ANY EVENT, WERE WE TO GO TO THE SECOND OPTION, WHICH
24	IS THE SORT OF CLASSIC OVERSIGHT SITUATION WHERE THE
25	CHAIR SORT OF COMES IN AND OUT OF THE PICTURE AS

1	NEEDED ON A MEETING BY MEETING BASIS OVER TIME, IT'S
2	A LOT LESS OF AN INVOLVED POSITION THAN IT IS NOW.
3	SO I MERELY LAY THAT OUT TO THE SUBCOMMITTEE BECAUSE
4	I WANTED EVERYBODY TO UNDERSTAND THAT THE FIRST
5	OPTION IS SORT OF CONTINUATION OF THE STATUS QUO
6	WHICH IS HOW IT EXISTS TODAY UNDER MY APPROACH.
7	GOING TO THE OTHER OPTION WOULD BE A SIGNIFICANT
8	DEPARTURE FROM THAT AND A NOTABLY LESS INVOLVED
9	CHAIR THAN YOU HAVE TODAY. SO JUST WANTED TO MAKE
10	THAT CLEAR TO EVERYBODY.
11	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: THANK YOU, J.T.
12	OTHER THOUGHTS? KRISTINA.
13	DR. VUORI: I JUST HAVE A QUICK QUESTION
14	FOR JAMES AS IT COMES TO THE DUTIES ASSIGNED TO THE
15	CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR. IN THE PROPOSITION IT DOES
16	NOT SPEAK TO THE FACT THAT IT'S OVERSIGHT OR
17	OPERATIONAL ACTIVITY THAT THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR
18	UNDERTAKES.
19	MR. HARRISON: THE STATUTE SPECIFIES THAT
20	CHAIR IS TO CARRY OUT FIVE DISCRETE FUNCTIONS IN
21	ADDITION TO MANAGING THE BOARD'S AGENDA. THEY
22	INCLUDE SUPERVISING THE ANNUAL REPORT AND PUBLIC
23	ACCOUNTABILITY REQUIREMENTS, WHICH REFER TO
24	COMPLIANCE WITH THE OPEN MEETING LAWS, CONFLICT OF
25	INTEREST LAWS, ET CETERA; MANAGING AND OPTIMIZING
	12

1	THE BOND FINANCE PROGRAM. THE CHAIR IS A MEMBER OF
2	THE STATE FINANCE COMMITTEE. SO I THINK THAT GOES A
3	BIT HAND IN HAND. INTERFACING WITH CALIFORNIA
4	LEGISLATURE, CONGRESS, AND THE PUBLIC, OPTIMIZING
5	FINANCIAL LEVERAGE OPPORTUNITIES, AND LEADING
6	NEGOTIATIONS FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AGREEMENTS.
7	OF COURSE, CIRM HAS ESTABLISHED REGULATIONS WHICH
8	OBVIATE THE NEED BY AND LARGE TO NEGOTIATE
9	INDIVIDUAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AGREEMENTS, BUT
10	THAT IS ONE OF THE FUNCTIONS ASSIGNED BY STATUTE TO
11	THE CHAIR.
12	IN ADDITION TO THAT, THE CHAIR IS
13	DESIGNATED TO SERVE ON THE FOUR WORKING GROUPS,
14	GRANTS WORKING GROUP, STANDARDS, AND FACILITIES, AND
15	THE AFFORDABILITY AND ACCESSIBILITY WORKING GROUP IN
16	ADDITION TO THE STATE FINANCE COMMITTEE WHICH I
17	MENTIONED.
18	DR. VUORI: THANK YOU.
19	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: IN A WAY IT'S ABOUT
20	THE CHAIR AND THE VICE CHAIR, BUT IT'S IN THE LARGER
21	SENSE ABOUT THE ROLE OF THE ENTIRE BOARD IN THE
22	ORGANIZATION AND THE INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS OF THE BOARD
23	AND THE SUBCOMMITTEES AND THE ENTIRE STRUCTURE OF
24	THE ICOC.
25	OTHER THOUGHTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE
	14

1	SUBCOMMITTEE?
2	DR. VUORI: MAYBE JUST ANOTHER QUICK
3	QUESTION, THEN, TO MAYBE MARIA OR SOMEBODY ON THE
4	STAFF WHO KEEPS A TAB OF THE VARIOUS MEETINGS. HOW
5	MANY MEETINGS ALONE ANNUALLY IS THE CHAIR AND VICE
6	CHAIR ATTENDING IF ONE ATTEMPTS TO GAUGE A LITTLE
7	BIT THE TIME COMMITMENT STARTING FROM THERE?
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: SO THEY ARE MEMBERS OF
9	ALL OF THE SUBCOMMITTEES, SO DEPENDING ON AN ACTIVE
10	YEAR. FOR EXAMPLE, THIS YEAR WE HAVE ABOUT 70
11	MEETINGS OVERALL BETWEEN ICOC MEETINGS, SUBCOMMITTEE
12	MEETINGS, AND THEN THEY ARE MEMBERS THE CHAIR IS
13	A MEMBER OF EVERY WORKING GROUP. THE VICE CHAIR CAN
14	BE DEPENDING ON THEIR ROLE. SO WITH THOSE ADDED,
15	IT'S QUITE A BIT OF MEETINGS. I'D SAY DEPENDING ON
16	HOW ACTIVE IT IS. IF THEY'RE ON THE GWG, YOU THINK
17	ABOUT THE GWG MEETINGS, WE HAVE AT LEAST 22 OF THOSE
18	THIS YEAR. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE ANYWHERE FROM 30 TO
19	45 ICOC MEETINGS, BOARD MEETINGS, SUBCOMMITTEE
20	MEETINGS, PREMEETINGS FOR THOSE MEETINGS, THINGS
21	LIKE THAT.
22	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: SO THAT IS A
23	SUBSTANTIAL TIME COMMITMENT. ART.
24	MR. TORRES: I THINK J.T. OUTLINED THE
25	ISSUES PRETTY WELL. HAVING LIVED THROUGH BOB KLEIN
	15

1	AND J.T. NOW, IT'S VERY CLEAR THAT THE NATURE OF THE
2	RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE CHAIR HAS CHANGED IN TERMS
3	OF A MORE OVERSIGHT OPERATIONAL PERSPECTIVE, BUT
4	CLEARLY HIS ROLE WITH THE BOND FINANCE COMMITTEE IS
5	STILL A VERY, VERY INTEGRAL PART OF BEING THE CHAIR.
6	WHAT'S ALSO INCREASED IN MY EXPERIENCE SINCE I CAME
7	ON BOARD IN 2009 IS THE NUMBER OF COMMITTEE MEETINGS
8	THAT ARE TAKING PLACE NOW. I THINK WE HAVE A MUCH
9	MORE ENERGETIC AND ROBUST BOARD. THERE'S REALLY AN
10	ACTIVISM THAT I HAVE NOT SEEN, WHICH I THINK IS
11	TERRIFIC, AND THE PARTICIPATION GOES ALONG WITH
12	THAT.
13	THE WORKLOAD HAS INCREASED ALSO IN TERMS
14	OF THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP. ALSO THE NATURE OF
15	GRANTS THAT ARE COMING THROUGH FOR APPROVAL IS ALSO
16	INCREASED. SO, THEREFORE, THE PARTICIPATION OF
17	THOSE OF US THAT ARE ON THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP, I
18	REPRESENT CANCER ON THE GROUP AS WELL AS BEING THE
19	VICE CHAIR, HAS DEFINITELY INCREASED IN TERMS OF
20	PARTICIPATION LEVELS, BUT ALSO IN TERMS OF THE
21	ACTUAL CONTENT THAT WE HAVE TO REVIEW. ANCILLARY
22	PORTION, OF COURSE, IS THE WORK AND THE EFFORT THAT
23	IT'S TAKEN MANY OF US ON THE DEI ISSUE, AND HOW DO
24	WE INCREASE REPRESENTATION, AND WHAT FACTORS DO WE
25	TAKE INTO ACCOUNT, AND WHAT MEETINGS DO WE HAVE TO

1	TAKE THOSE FACTORS INTO ACCOUNT HAS ALSO TAKEN UP.
2	THAT WAS NOT MENTIONED EARLIER, THE DEI SITUATION.
3	IN TERMS OF PARTICIPATION BY THE CHAIR, I
4	DON'T KNOW WHETHER WE CONSIDER REDUCING THE SALARY
5	BASED UPON REDUCED RESPONSIBILITY OR ACTIVITY.
6	THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WE STILL HAVE TO DECIDE.
7	AND ALSO IN TERMS OF THE LEGISLATIVE
8	OUTREACH, I THINK THAT'S BEEN A DUAL ROLE THAT J.T.
9	AND I HAVE TAKEN ON, I MORE SO. AND THAT'S BEEN
10	REDUCED AS WELL BECAUSE WE'VE REDUCED THE FRICTION
11	BETWEEN US AND THE PREVIOUS LEADERSHIP TEAM AND THE
12	LEGISLATURE. SO THAT THERE'S A MUCH MORE AMICABLE
13	RELATIONSHIP AND MUCH MORE AWARENESS OF WHAT WE DO
14	FOR THE MOST PART AND THE FACT THAT THEY AGREE WITH
15	WHAT WE DO, AND THAT'S STARTING WITH THE GOVERNOR ON
16	DOWN AND ALSO WITH THE LEGISLATIVE LEADERSHIP AND
17	INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS WHO CHAIR POLICY COMMITTEES THAT
18	ARE RELEVANT TO OUR MISSION.
19	I THINK ALL OF THOSE FACTORS ARE IMPORTANT
20	TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT, BUT MOST IMPORTANTLY OF ALL
21	FROM MY PERSPECTIVE IS THAT THE ROLES FOR THE VICE
22	CHAIR AND CHAIR HAVE GRADUALLY BEEN CHANGING AND
23	EVOLVING INTO DIFFERENT ROLES, AND MUCH MORE
24	LEADERSHIP HAS BEEN TAKEN OVER BY THE PRESIDENT AND
25	BY THE LEADERSHIP TEAM, LIKE GIL SAMBRANO, LIKE

17

1	MARIA BONNEVILLE, LIKE OUR GENERAL COUNSEL. AND NOW
2	WITH SHYAM TAKING OVER THE AAWG RESPONSIBILITIES IN
3	TERMS OF OUTREACH, THAT'S GOING TO TAKE A
4	SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF TIME. IF THE VICE CHAIR
5	CONTINUES AS CHAIR OF, AND IT'S OBVIOUSLY UP TO THE
6	NEW CHAIRMAN, CONTINUES AS CHAIR OF THE AAWG GROUP,
7	THAT'S GOING TO ADD ANOTHER RESPONSIBILITY WHICH WE
8	HAVEN'T YET BEGUN TO ASSESS JUST HOW MUCH THAT
9	RESPONSIBILITY WILL BE.
10	I'M STARTING TO SEE THAT NOW AS WE ARE
11	GETTING INTO THE AREAS OF CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE, IN
12	TERMS OF ALPHA CLINICS, IN TERMS OF OTHER FACTORS,
13	AND THE MOST IMPORTANT ONE, OF COURSE, IS
14	ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY, WHICH WE'RE BARELY
15	STARTING TO SCRATCH THE SURFACE OF NOW. I THINK
16	IT'S SOMETHING WE NEED TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION.
17	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: I THINK THOSE ARE
18	EXCELLENT POINTS. I THINK THAT THE AFFORDABILITY
19	AND ACCESSIBILITY ISSUE THAT WE ARE ONLY REALLY JUST
20	BEGINNING TO ADDRESS IS A VERY IMPORTANT ONE, AND I
21	THINK IT WILL REPRESENT A SIGNIFICANT COMMITMENT OF
22	TIME FOR THE PERSON WHO'S CHAIRING THAT COMMITTEE.
23	OTHER THOUGHTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE
24	COMMITTEE? GEORGE.
25	DR. BLUMENTHAL: I'M NOT SURE I HAVE ANY
	18
	TO

1	DEEP THOUGHTS HERE, BUT I JUST WANT TO MAKE A COUPLE
2	OF POINTS. ONE IS I BELIEVE IT IS THE CASE, AND
3	OTHERS WHO HAVE BEEN ON THE BOARD LONGER THAN I, ART
4	CAN CORRECT ME, BUT I BELIEVE ACTUALLY THAT ART TOOK
5	OVER SOME OF THE GOVERNMENT RELATIONS FUNCTIONS THAT
6	THE BOARD HAD ACTUALLY BEEN ENGAGED IN BEFORE
7	THROUGH A GOVERNMENT RELATIONS OFFICER. SO, IN
8	FACT, THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR HAVE TAKEN ON SOME
9	ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES HISTORICALLY THAT HAD
10	BEEN A PART OF THE TEAM. SO I THINK WE NEED TO TAKE
11	THAT INTO ACCOUNT AS WE EVALUATE THESE POSITIONS.
12	THE OTHER THING THAT JUST STRIKES ME
13	LISTENING TO ALL OF THIS IS I'M IMPRESSED WITH HOW
14	WELL THINGS HAVE BEEN WORKING. I THINK IT'S WORKED
15	EXTREMELY WELL UNDER J.T. AND ART. FOR ME TO REALLY
16	BE SUPPORTIVE OF A CHANGE, I NEED TO SEE EVIDENCE
17	FOR WHAT WE NEED TO CHANGE. I GUESS WHAT I'M REALLY
18	SAYING IS IF IT AIN'T BROKE, WHY ARE WE GOING TO FIX
19	IT? TO SOME EXTENT WE REALLY NEED TO DECIDE IS
20	SOMETHING BROKEN, IS THERE SOMETHING THAT WE NEED TO
21	ADJUST.
22	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: THANK YOU, GEORGE.
23	STEVE.
24	MR. JUELSGAARD: SO IN ORDER, I THINK, FOR
25	CANDIDATES TO BE BROUGHT FORWARD, WHAT WE'RE GOING
	19
	13

1	TO NEED TO DO IS PROVIDE ESSENTIALLY A JOB
2	DESCRIPTION, RIGHT. WE'VE BEEN TALKING A LITTLE BIT
3	ABOUT THIS. IS, FOR EXAMPLE, THE VICE CHAIR GOING
4	TO BE HEAD OF THE AAWG OR IS SOMEBODY ELSE GOING TO
5	BE, AND HOW DOES THAT EBB AND FLOW OVER TIME, THINGS
6	OF THAT SORT.
7	SO I GUESS JAMES IS STILL ON THE LINE.
8	BUT HOW DO WE GO ABOUT PROVIDING FOR SOMEBODY WHO
9	MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN BASICALLY HAVING THEIR NAME
10	IN THE HAT AND APPROACHING A CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICER
11	IN THAT REGARD, HOW DO WE GO ABOUT PROVIDING A LIST
12	OF WHAT THE ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES ARE GOING TO
13	BE FOR EACH OF THESE POSITIONS?
14	MR. HARRISON: I THINK ULTIMATELY THAT'S
15	THE GOAL OF JUDY AND KRISTINA IN LEADING THIS
16	DISCUSSION. FIRST, AT A HIGH LEVEL, TO DETERMINE
17	WHETHER OR NOT YOU PREFER THE PARTNERSHIP MODEL OR
18	THE MORE TRADITIONAL OVERSIGHT MODEL. AND IF IT IS
19	THE PARTNERSHIP MODEL, WHAT SORTS OF TASKS YOU WOULD
20	LIKE THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR TO PARTICIPATE IN AT A
21	MORE OPERATIONAL LEVEL.
22	SO TO GEORGE'S POINT, IS GOVERNMENT
23	RELATIONS ONE OF THE FUNCTIONS THAT YOU WANT THE
24	CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR?
25	MR. TORRES: RIGHT. EXCUSE ME. AND IN
	20

1	RESPECT TO THAT POINT, JAMES, THAT'S WHAT MARIA DOES
2	ALL THE TIME, MARIA BONNEVILLE. WHEN THERE'S A
3	VACANCY, SHE PROVIDES A VERY DETAILED REPORT TO THE
4	APPOINTING CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICER AS TO WHAT ARE THE
5	CREDENTIALS THAT ARE NEEDED FOR THE VACANCY THAT'S
6	BEEN CREATED. LIKE SHE DID THAT WITH DAVE MARTIN TO
7	THE TREASURER'S OFFICE. SO I THINK, AS STEVE
8	INDICATED, THAT IS AN IMPORTANT ELEMENT OF PROVIDING
9	THE INFORMATION TO THOSE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS.
10	THE OTHER IS THAT OF THE FOUR
11	CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS, YES, PROBABLY IN ORDER OF
12	UNDERSTANDING US, GAVIN AND ELENI, THE LIEUTENANT
13	GOVERNOR, COME PRETTY CLOSE TO KNOWING MOST OF WHAT
14	WE DO. ELENI, BECAUSE SHE WAS SO MUCH A PART OF
15	BOTH CAMPAIGNS ON PROPOSITION 71 AND PROPOSITION 14,
16	SHE REALLY PUT HER COMMITMENT, FINANCIAL AND
17	OTHERWISE, INTO THOSE TWO CAMPAIGNS BECAUSE SHE
18	UNDERSTANDS WHAT WE DO AND KNOWS WHAT WE DO. I KNOW
19	SHE WILL GO LOOKING FOR THOSE CREDENTIALS, BUT ALSO
20	LOOKING FOR CANDIDATES.
21	GAVIN IS THE SAME. HE WAS THERE AT THE
22	BEGINNING. HE'S FOLLOWED US. I'VE KEPT HIM IN
23	TOUCH ALMOST MONTHLY IN RESPECT TO WHAT WE ARE
24	DOING, AND HE'S ESPECIALLY EXCITED ABOUT THE
25	AFFORDABILITY AND ACCESSIBILITY ASPECT OF THE
	21

21

1	WORKING GROUP. OF COURSE, BETTY YEE AS THE
2	CONTROLLER UNDERSTANDS THE FISCAL NATURE OF WHO WE
3	ARE. FIONA IS MUCH MORE NEWER TO THE SCENE, THE
4	TREASURER. SO WE HAVE TO BRING HER UP TO DATE, BUT
5	FOR THE MOST PART, GOING TO STEVE'S POINT ABOUT HOW
6	DO WE COMMUNICATE WHAT WE NEED IN TERMS OF
7	CANDIDATES, I THINK THEY PRETTY MUCH GET IT. JUST
8	GIVING THEM AN OUTLINE OF WHAT THE CREDENTIALS ARE,
9	THEY'LL FOLLOW THEM PRETTY CAREFULLY.
10	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: THANK YOU, ART.
11	STEVE, DOES THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?
12	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES. WHAT I UNDERSTAND,
13	JUDY, IS THAT YOU AND KRISTINA ARE GOING TO TAKE THE
14	FIRST CRACK AT PUTTING THIS TOGETHER SOMEHOW, TRYING
15	TO ASCERTAIN ALL THE THOUGHTS OF THE VARIOUS PEOPLE
16	HERE, AND THEN WE'LL GO FROM THERE.
17	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: THAT'S CORRECT.
18	KRISTINA.
19	DR. VUORI: JUST A COMMENT. I UNDERSTOOD
20	STEVE HAD SORT OF ANOTHER QUESTION IN A WAY THAT
21	WHAT IF THERE IS A PERSON SOMEWHERE IN THE STATE OF
22	CALIFORNIA WHO MIGHT BE INTERESTED IN THESE
23	POSITIONS, BUT DOES NOT KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THEY
24	ENTAIL. IS THERE A TYPICAL JOB DESCRIPTION
25	AVAILABLE SOMEWHERE THAT THEY CAN TAKE A LOOK AT AND
	22

1	THINK WHETHER THIS IS SOMETHING THAT THEY WOULD BE
2	QUALIFIED FOR AND INTERESTED IN.? SO IS THERE SORT
3	OF A PROCESS OF COMMUNICATING ABOUT THESE POSITIONS
4	TO THE WIDER AUDIENCE?
5	MR. JUELSGAARD: THAT'S A VERY GOOD POINT.
6	MR. HARRISON: I THINK THE ULTIMATE GOAL
7	WOULD BE TO, AS KRISTINA AND JUDY SAID, TO
8	SYNTHESIZE WHAT THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE VIEWS
9	ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT ATTRIBUTES FOR CANDIDATES FOR
10	CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR AND WHAT THEIR ROLES AND
11	RESPONSIBILITIES ARE, WHAT THE PERCENT EFFORT IS,
12	AND WHAT THE RANGE OF COMPENSATION WOULD BE. AND
13	ALL THAT MATERIAL WOULD BE COMPILED INTO A SUMMARY
14	THAT WOULD BE PROVIDED TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL
15	OFFICERS AND MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC SO THAT
16	FOLKS WHO ARE INTERESTED IN THE POSITION COULD TAKE
17	A CLOSER LOOK AT BOTH WHAT'S REQUIRED AND WHAT THE
18	RESPONSIBILITIES ARE AND WHAT THE EXPECTATIONS ARE
19	IN TERMS OF TIME COMMITMENT AND COMPENSATION.
20	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: AND I THINK WE'RE
21	GOING TO RELY HEAVILY ON THE BOARD MEMBERS TO GET IN
22	TOUCH WITH THEIR NETWORKS AND TO LET IT BE KNOWN
23	BROADLY THAT THESE POSITIONS ARE OPEN AND WE ARE
24	LOOKING FOR NOMINEES TO FORWARD ON TO THE
25	CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS AND TO PARTICIPATE VERY

23

ACTIVELY IN THIS PROCESS.

1

CHAIRMAN THOMAS: JUDY, IF I MIGHT, ONE OF 2 THE CHALLENGES HERE IS THAT, IN DEFINING WHAT THE 3 ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES ARE, IS THAT TO A CERTAIN 4 EXTENT THAT'S COLORED BY THE STRENGTHS AND/OR 5 WEAKNESSES THAT ART AND I BROUGHT TO OUR POSITIONS 6 AND THE THINGS THAT WE FOCUSED ON THE MOST. SO ART, 7 OF COURSE, HAS SPEARHEADED ALL THE GOVERNMENT 8 9 RELATIONS WORK THROUGH HIS VAST NETWORK OVER THE YEARS AND DONE A WONDERFUL JOB ON BEHALF OF THE 10 BOARD. THAT'S NOT SOMETHING NECESSARILY THAT YOU 11 ARE GOING TO BE ABLE TO DUPLICATE WITH A SUBSEQUENT 12 PERSON WHO MIGHT TAKE THAT VICE CHAIR POSITION, FOR 13 14 EXAMPLE. OR ON THE FINANCING STUFF, UNDERSTANDING 15 OF BOND FINANCING, LEVERAGED FINANCING TECHNIQUES, AND ALL THAT SORT OF THING, THAT HAPPENED TO BE 16 17 SOMETHING THAT I BROUGHT TO THE BOARD, ET CETERA. AND SO WE HAVE OUR OWN STRENGTHS THAT DEFINE WHAT 18 19 OUR ROLES HAVE BEEN OVER THE YEARS. 20 AND I THINK THE CHALLENGE OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE AND THE BOARD IS GOING TO BE TO COME UP 21 22 WITH A LIST OF CRITERIA THAT ISN'T NECESSARILY 23 REFLECTIVE OF WHAT OUR STRENGTHS WERE, BUT OF STRENGTHS THAT WE THINK THAT THE POSITIONS 24 25 INHERENTLY SHOULD HAVE GOING FORWARD BECAUSE YOU'RE

1	UNLIKELY TO FIND TWO DIFFERENT PEOPLE TO TAKE THOSE
2	ROLES THAT HAVE THE SAME STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES
3	THAT ART AND I HAVE HAD.
4	I THINK WHEN WE GET INTO THE LATER LIST OF
5	CRITERIA THAT ARE IMPORTANT FOR THE POSITION, I
6	THINK THAT'S SOMETHING WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO LOOK
7	AT VERY CLOSELY.
8	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: THANK YOU, J.T.
9	LINDA.
10	DR. BOXER: ACTUALLY HEARING J.T. AND ART
11	IS VERY HELPFUL, AND MAYBE THIS QUESTION JUST SORT
12	OF THE ANSWER TO THIS QUESTIONS JUST SORT OF
13	WORKED OUT. BUT IN THE PARTNERSHIP MODEL, I
14	UNDERSTAND SORT OF THE BALANCING OF THE DIFFERENT
15	SKILL SETS, EXPERIENCE OF THE CHAIR, THAT THE TWO
16	BRING, BUT HOW IS IT WORKED OUT AS PERHAPS
17	REQUIREMENTS CHANGE OVER TIME OR THERE ARE NEW
18	ACTIVITIES THAT NEED TO BE TAKEN ON? I WOULD
19	IMAGINE IN THE PARTNERSHIP THAT'S A DISCUSSION WITH
20	NEW PLANS TO DIVIDE UP THESE RESPONSIBILITIES.
21	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: IF I CAN SPEAK TO THAT.
22	SO YES. AS NEW THINGS ARISE, THERE IS A DISCUSSION.
23	AND A PERFECT EXAMPLE IS THE AAWG, WHICH WAS
24	MANDATED BY PROP 14. AND I ASKED ART TO CHAIR THAT
25	WORKING GROUP, NOT BECAUSE HE WAS VICE CHAIR, BUT
	25

1	BECAUSE HE HAS SKILL SETS THAT WERE IDEAL TO BE
2	RUNNING THAT PARTICULAR WORKING GROUP EFFORT.
3	AND SO AS THINGS COME UP, AND THERE ARE
4	THINGS THAT ARE MANDATED BY PROP 14 SUCH AS THAT, WE
5	DO SORT OF ANALYZE IN REAL-TIME WHO THE BEST PEOPLE
6	ARE. NOW, ALSO, BY THE WAY, REMEMBER THERE ARE ALSO
7	FIVE BOARD MEMBERS WHO ARE PART OF THE AAWG. AND SO
8	WE HAD TO HAVE AN INTERNAL THOUGHT PROCESS AS TO WHO
9	THE BEST PEOPLE FROM THE BOARD TO BE ON THAT WORKING
10	GROUP WHO THEY WOULD BE, AND WE MADE THE
11	APPOINTMENTS ACCORDINGLY.
12	SO, YES, THE ANSWER IS WE DO DEAL WITH
13	THESE THINGS IMMEDIATELY AS THEY COME UP AND ASSESS
14	WHAT THE BEST POSSIBLE WAY TO PROCEED WOULD BE.
15	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: I THINK LINDA RAISES
16	A REALLY IMPORTANT POINT, WHICH IS THAT THE FOCUS
17	HERE IS ON GOING FORWARD, IT'S ON THE FUTURE. WE
18	HAVE RESPONSIBILITY FOR \$5.5 BILLION IN FUNDING FOR
19	STEM CELL AND REGENERATIVE MEDICINE RESEARCH. WE
20	CAN'T NECESSARILY KNOW AT THIS MOMENT IN TIME WHAT
21	KINDS OF FUTURE RESPONSIBILITIES WOULD COME TO THE
22	CHAIR AND THE VICE CHAIR AND THE MEMBERS OF THE
23	BOARD. SO IT'S USEFUL TO KEEP THAT IN MIND.
24	BUT IN TERMS OF THE JOB DESCRIPTION, WHAT
25	WE'RE GOING TO TRY TO DO IS HAVE SOMETHING FOR YOU
	26
	20

1	TO REACT TO AT THE NEXT MEETING WHICH WE BELIEVE
2	WILL REPRESENT THE VIEWS OF PEOPLE THAT HAVE SPOKEN
3	UP TODAY.
4	ARE THERE MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE THAT
5	WANT TO ARGUE STRONGLY FOR THE TRADITIONAL OVERSIGHT
6	ROLE AS OPPOSED TO THE CURRENT ROLE OF A PARTNERSHIP
7	WHERE RESPONSIBILITIES ARE SHARED BETWEEN THE BOARD
8	AND THE CEO AND THE LEADERSHIP TEAM? OKAY. I DON'T
9	SEE THAT ANYBODY WANTS TO MAKE THAT ARGUMENT.
10	SO MY SENSE IS THAT WE FEEL LIKE IT'S
11	WORKING WELL THE WAY IT IS NOW AND THAT WE SHOULD
12	CONTINUE ON.
13	AND THE NEXT THING THAT WE SHOULD DESCRIBE
14	IS THE PERCENT EFFORT. THANK YOU AGAIN, JAMES, FOR
15	ALL OF YOUR WORK COLLATING THOSE DATA. AND THE
16	MAJORITY CAME OUT BETWEEN 70 AND A HUNDRED PERCENT.
17	I THINK, J.T., ARE YOU AT 80 RIGHT NOW?
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
19	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: AND, ART, YOU'RE AT A
20	HUNDRED RIGHT NOW BECAUSE OF YOUR NEW
21	RESPONSIBILITIES, CORRECT?
22	MR. TORRES: RIGHT. WHICH THE BOARD
23	AMENDED RECENTLY.
24	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: RIGHT. SO GIVEN ALL
25	OF THE MEETINGS THAT MARIA BONNEVILLE JUST TOLD US
	27
	21

1	ABOUT AND THE ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES AND PUBLIC
2	APPEARANCES AND OUTREACH AND ALL THE THINGS THAT THE
3	CHAIR NEEDS TO DO, IT CERTAINLY SEEMS REASONABLE TO
4	ME THAT IT WOULD BE BETWEEN 70 AND A HUNDRED. AND
5	J.T. IS CURRENTLY AT 80. SO ANYBODY HAVE THOUGHTS
6	THEY WOULD LIKE TO SHARE ABOUT THE PERCENT EFFORT?
7	MR. TORRES: I THINK WE JUST NEED TO KEEP
8	IN MIND THAT BOTH THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR
9	RESPONSIBILITIES, IN TERMS OF ADVOCACY, ARE GOING TO
10	EVOLVE AND CHANGE BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF THE
11	WORKING GROUP THAT REQUIRES US, AND RIGHTLY SO, TO
12	REACH OUT TO PATIENT ADVOCATE ORGANIZATIONS AND
13	ASSESSING THEIR AFFORDABILITY AND ACCESSIBILITY
14	OUTREACH. I THINK THAT'S GOING TO INCREASE THE
15	AMOUNT OF TIME COMMITMENT TO MEETINGS OUTSIDE THE
16	OFFICE IN RESPECT TO THAT OUTREACH. WE'LL HAVE
17	STAFF TO DO THAT NONETHELESS, BUT I THINK IT'S
18	IMPORTANT FOR THE CHAIR AND THE VICE CHAIR IN TERMS
19	OF GETTING OUT THERE AND LENDING THEIR CREDIBILITY
20	TO THOSE ADVOCACY GROUPS. THEY'RE A PART AND PARCEL
21	OF THE PROCESS.
22	WHEN JAMES AND I AND BOB KLEIN WROTE THE
23	AFFORDABILITY AND ACCESSIBILITY LANGUAGE, IT CAME
24	FROM ME IN TERMS OF ONE LEGACY, THE ORGAN TRANSPLANT
25	FOUNDATION, WHERE WE HAD REALLY BEEN WORKING THAT

28

1	MODEL FOR ABOUT 20 YEARS NOW WHERE WE REACH OUT TO
2	FOUNDATIONS, FUND THEM, AND THEY PROVIDE THE
3	OUTREACH TO PATIENTS, AND HELP PAY FOR EXPENSES FOR
4	PATIENTS TO GET ORGAN TRANSPLANTS.
5	WE ALSO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY, I THINK, TO
6	LOOK AT JUST WHERE WE NEED TO GO FROM HERE IN TERMS
7	OF THE RESPONSIBILITIES OF WHOEVER ASSUMES THAT
8	CHAIRMANSHIP. IT COULD BE THE NEW VICE CHAIR THAT
9	WE DECIDE OR THE NEW CHAIR DECIDES THAT OUGHT TO
10	CONTINUE IN THAT VEIN OR CAN BE SOMEONE ELSE. BUT
11	AT THE END OF THE DAY, I THINK THE 80-PERCENT MODEL
12	HAS WORKED PRETTY WELL IN TERMS OF ACCOUNTABILITY
13	AND IN TERMS OF TIME COMMITMENT. BUT THAT'S GOING
14	TO CHANGE AND EVOLVE AS WE MOVE FORWARD AND AS THE
15	CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR ROLES HAVE CHANGED AND EVOLVED
16	SINCE I CAME ON BOARD. WE ARE FLEXIBLE ENOUGH AND
17	WE'RE NIMBLE ENOUGH THAT WE CAN MEET THOSE
18	CHALLENGES.
19	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: GOOD POINTS ALL, ART.
20	STEVE.
21	MR. JUELSGAARD: SO THE DETERMINATION OF
22	THE PERCENTAGE OF TIME SEEMS TO ME A DERIVATIVE OF
23	THE JOB DESCRIPTION. AND MORE IMPORTANTLY FOR THE
24	VICE CHAIR THAN PERHAPS FOR THE CHAIR. IF THE JOB
25	DESCRIPTION IS ESSENTIALLY THE ONE THAT EXISTS FOR
	29

1	J.T. CURRENTLY, THEN I WOULD SAY 80 PERCENT, WHICH
2	IS THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT WE HAVE FOR J.T., SEEMS A
3	REASONABLE OUTCOME.
4	ART FILLS ONE FUNCTION RIGHT NOW THAT IT'S
5	NOT CLEAR TO ME THAT THAT WOULD CONTINUE WITH THE
6	VICE CHAIR. THAT'S GOVERNMENT RELATIONS. SO WE
7	NEED TO MAKE A DECISION ON JOB DESCRIPTION BECAUSE
8	IF IT'S NOT IN THERE, THEN THAT TAKES AWAY SOME OF
9	THE THINGS THAT HE'S BEEN DOING AND DIMINISHES OR
10	DECREASES THE AMOUNT OF TIME.
11	AND THEN THE OTHER THING IS THE AAWG,
12	AGAIN, HE'S TAKEN ON THE CHAIRMANSHIP OF THAT, BUT
13	ARE WE GOING TO CONTINUE THAT OR NOT? SO FOR ME THE
14	VICE CHAIR IS REALLY A BIT UP IN THE AIR AS TO THE
15	TIME COMMITMENT BECAUSE IT DEPENDS ON THE JOB
16	DESCRIPTION THAT WE DERIVE FOR THAT. SO MAYBE WE
17	CAN SORT OUT AT THIS POINT THE CHAIRMAN'S PERCENTAGE
18	OF TIME, BUT I'M NOT SURE WE'RE QUITE THERE YET FOR
19	THE VICE CHAIR.
20	MR. TORRES: UNLESS WE MANDATE IT THROUGH
21	OUR OWN LANGUAGE THAT THE VICE CHAIR CONTINUES AS
22	CHAIR OF THE WG GROUP AS WELL AS TAKING
23	RESPONSIBILITY FOR GOVERNMENT RELATIONS. AND THAT
24	MIGHT BE AN APPROPRIATE STEP TO TAKE. I DON'T KNOW.
25	MR. JUELSGAARD: YOU'RE RIGHT, BUT I THINK
	30

1	WE HAVE TO COME TO THAT DECISION WHICH WE HAVEN'T
2	COME TO YET. SO THAT'S GOING TO BE DEPENDENT UPON
3	WHAT JUDY AND KRISTINA DECIDE TO PUT ON FOR US TO
4	CONSIDER AND DEBATE AND THEN DECIDE.
5	MR. TORRES: THAT'S MY ONLY
6	RECOMMENDATION.
7	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: THANK YOU. J.T., YOU
8	HAVE YOUR HAND RAISED.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES. I WANT TO ECHO
10	WHAT STEVE SAID. I THINK FOR THE CHAIR POSITION, I
11	THINK THE 80-PERCENT FIGURE HAS WORKED WELL OVER MY
12	YEARS IN THE POSITION. AND I WOULD RECOMMEND
13	CONTINUING ALONG WITH THAT NUMBER.
14	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: OKAY. THAT'S GOOD.
15	THAT'S HELPFUL. THANK YOU.
16	FOR ME I SORT OF CONSIDER THE CHAIR AND
17	THE VICE CHAIR AS A PACKAGE. AND IN SOME WAYS WHEN
18	THE NOMINEES COME TO US, WHICH THEY EVENTUALLY WILL,
19	FROM THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS, I THINK WE'RE
20	GOING TO HAVE TO WEIGH THE RELATIVE STRENGTHS OF
21	EACH OF THE CANDIDATES FOR CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR AND
22	HOPEFULLY COME UP WITH TWO PEOPLE WHO ARE ABLE TO
23	MEET ALL THE REQUIREMENTS.
24	ARE THERE MEMBERS OF THIS COMMITTEE WHO
25	WANT TO CONSIDER MANDATING THAT THE VICE CHAIR CHAIR
	31

1	THE AAWG AND/OR BE THE PERSON WHO'S RESPONSIBLE FOR
2	GOVERNMENT RELATIONS?
3	MR. TORRES: I WOULD. THAT WOULD BE MY
4	RECOMMENDATION INITIALLY. IT'S GOING TO REQUIRE
5	WORK, AND THAT GIVES A LITTLE MORE MEAT TO THE ROLE
6	AS WE SEE IT IN THE FUTURE.
7	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: OKAY. OTHER THOUGHTS
8	ABOUT THIS? STEVE.
9	MR. JUELSGAARD: I THINK IT NARROWS THE
10	FUNNEL CONSIDERABLY IF YOU ADD THOSE TWO COMPONENTS
11	TO THE JOB DESCRIPTION BECAUSE SOMEBODY WHO HAS BOTH
12	THE KNOWLEDGE OF WORKING WITH THE CALIFORNIA
13	LEGISLATURE AND WITH THE ADMINISTRATION ON THE ONE
14	HAND AND KNOWING SOMETHING ABOUT ACCESS AND
15	AFFORDABILITY ISSUES ON THE OTHER. TO SUCCESSFULLY
16	LEAD THAT COMMITTEE, I THINK YOU HAVE TO BE STEEPED
17	IN SOME KNOWLEDGE OF THAT AREA. AND YOU'RE NOW
18	LAYERING ON SOME VERY SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS THAT I
19	THINK MAY LIMIT THE NUMBER OF CANDIDATES.
20	SO I'D AT LEAST LIKE TO THINK ABOUT THAT A
21	LITTLE BIT AS TO WHETHER WE DO THAT OR NOT SIMPLY
22	BECAUSE MY CONCERN IS THAT WE MIGHT HAVE TROUBLE
23	REALLY FINDING SOMEBODY WHO OTHERWISE WOULD BE A
24	REALLY, REALLY GOOD CANDIDATE WHO MIGHT NOT HAVE
25	GOVERNMENT RELATIONS ABILITIES ON THE ONE HAND AND

1	ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY ON THE OTHER. ANYWAY,
2	THOSE ARE MY THOUGHTS.
3	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: THOSE TWO ATTRIBUTES
4	DON'T NECESSARILY GO IN HAND, MEANING THERE MAY NOT
5	BE ANOTHER ART TORRES OUT THERE.
6	MR. JUELSGAARD: YES. THAT'S MY POINT
7	EXACTLY.
8	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: ART, WERE YOU GOING
9	TO SAY SOMETHING? NO. OKAY. LINDA.
10	DR. BOXER: I AGREE WITH STEVE. I THINK
11	THAT MIGHT BE REALLY NARROWING THE CANDIDATE POOL.
12	I WONDER IF THERE IS SOME KIND OF COMPROMISE WHERE
13	WE COULD TALK ABOUT DESIRED EXPERIENCE AND
14	QUALIFICATIONS THAT MIGHT LEAD TO THE APPROPRIATE
15	PERSON FOR ONE OR BOTH OF THOSE POSITIONS RATHER
16	THAN SAYING THAT THEY HAVE TO HAVE IT.
17	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: I KNOW THAT JAMES
18	NEEDS TO GO SHORTLY. SO DOES ANYBODY HAVE ANY OTHER
19	QUESTIONS FOR JAMES? I THINK, JAMES, YOU DID SUCH A
20	FINE JOB OF NOT ONLY SUMMARIZING THE RESPONSES FROM
21	THIS YEAR BUT TAKING US BACK IN TIME TO 2010 WHERE
22	WE HAD VERY SIMILAR RESULTS. I'VE LOOKED AT THOSE
23	RESULTS, AND YOU'RE RIGHT. THEY'RE ALMOST EXACTLY
24	THE SAME. THANK YOU.
25	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: CAN I JUST ASK BEFORE
	20
	33

1	JAMES LEAVES.
2	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: YES, BY ALL MEANS.
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: JAMES, WHO IS ELIGIBLE
4	UNDER THE STATUTE TO CHAIR THE AAWG?
5	MR. HARRISON: ANY MEMBER OF THE AAWG CAN
6	BE APPOINTED AS CHAIR. IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT
7	THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR OF THE ICOC ARE BOTH
8	DESIGNATED MEMBERS OF THE AAWG, BUT THEY DON'T
9	NECESSARILY HAVE TO SERVE AS CHAIR.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANY BOARD MEMBER. IT'S
11	ANY BOARD MEMBER THAT'S ON THE AAWG, NOT ANY MEMBER
12	OF THE AAWG IS MY RECOLLECTION AS TO HOW THE BYLAWS
13	CAME THROUGH. SO ONE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS THAT SITS
14	ON THE AAWG DOES NOT HAVE TO BE CHAIR OR VICE CHAIR.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU.
16	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: SO IT WOULD BE ANY OF
17	THE FIVE BOARD MEMBERS THAT SIT ON THE COMMITTEE.
18	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: OR THE CHAIR OR VICE
19	CHAIR.
20	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: TWO OF WHICH ARE THE
21	CHAIR AND THE VICE CHAIR.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THERE'S SEVEN.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: THERE'S SEVEN.
24	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: SO THERE'S SEVEN
25	BOARD MEMBERS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT.
	34

-	
1	MOVING ALONG TO THE LIST OF ATTRIBUTES
2	THAT WERE IDENTIFIED, THEY SEEM TO BE FAIRLY OBVIOUS
3	THINGS IN TERMS OF HONEST AND ETHICAL AND A GOOD
4	COMMUNICATOR AND A STRONG LEADER AND RESPECTFUL AND
5	INCLUSIVE AND ALL OF THOSE GOOD THINGS. DOES ANYONE
6	HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL ATTRIBUTES BECAUSE KRISTINA AND
7	I CAN GO DOWN THAT LIST AND SYNTHESIZE A NICE
8	SUMMARY OF ATTRIBUTES THAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR IN
9	ADDITION TO WHAT'S MANDATED IN THE PROPOSITION.
10	DOES ANYBODY WANT TO ADD ANYTHING TO THAT LIST OR TO
11	HELP US FLESH THAT OUT A BIT MORE? J.T.
12	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: ONE OF THE ISSUES IN
13	LOOKING AT THAT SURVEY, IT'D BE NICE TO PUT THAT
14	BACK UP IF POSSIBLE.
15	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: I THINK MARIANNE CAN
16	DO THAT FOR US.
17	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: IF YOU COULD INCREASE
18	THE FONT SIZE JUST A LITTLE BIT, MARIANNE, IT WOULD
19	BE HELPFUL. THANK YOU.
20	SO ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT'S SORT OF
21	STRIKING IS THE ROLE AND THE MANDATORY CRITERION
22	LIST OF PATIENT ADVOCATE IS VERY CLEAR. AND YET, AS
23	YOU LOOK AT THAT ON THIS PARTICULAR LIST, THAT'S WAY
24	DOWN THE LIST, A 7-PERCENT NUMBER. SO WE NEED TO
25	SORT OF FIGURE OUT HOW TO RECONCILE THAT SURVEY
	25

1	RESULT WITH THE CRITERIA ITSELF IN THAT REGARD.
2	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: HIGHER UP IS A STRONG
3	AND EFFECTIVE ADVOCATE. I GUESS THAT COULD MEAN
4	PATIENT ADVOCATE OR ADVOCATE FOR THE ORGANIZATION OR
5	THE SCIENCE OR THE WORK. IT'S NOT REALLY TOTALLY
6	CLEAR. I THINK I'M ASSUMING THAT IT MEANS FOR THE
7	ORGANIZATION.
8	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THAT WOULD BE MY
9	READING. I THINK IF IT WERE AIMING TOWARDS PATIENT
10	ADVOCACY, IT WOULD BE A LITTLE BIT MORE SPECIFIC.
11	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: RIGHT. AND THAT IS
12	IN THE LIST IN THE PROPOSITION THAT JAMES EXPLAINED
13	IN THE EARLIER SLIDES. OKAY.
14	DR. VUORI: MAYBE BEFORE JAMES LEAVES WE
15	CAN ASK HIM THE QUESTION AS TO WHEN THE NOMINEE IN
16	PRINCIPLE WOULD NEED TO QUALIFY FOR A PATIENT
17	ADVOCATE SEAT ON THE ICOC. IS THERE SOME SORT OF
18	EXPECTATION OF A FORMAL DEMONSTRATION OF PAST
19	HISTORY OF BEING A PATIENT ADVOCATE? OR HOW DO YOU
20	EXPECT THAT TO BE ESSENTIALLY RECONCILED?
21	MR. HARRISON: I THINK FOR THE MOST PART
22	THE CRITERIA HAS BEEN SATISFIED THROUGH
23	DEMONSTRATION OF AN AFFILIATION WITH A PATIENT
24	ADVOCACY ORGANIZATION. I DON'T THINK IT'S A
25	PARTICULARLY HIGH MARK TO SATISFY.
	26

36

1	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: GREAT. OKAY.
2	LOOKING AT THE OTHER ATTRIBUTES THAT WERE
3	RANKED LOWER, SO ON THIS SURVEY GOVERNMENT SERVICE
4	EXPERIENCE WAS RANKED LOW, BUT WE KNOW THAT THAT'S
5	PART OF THE MANDATORY QUALIFICATIONS. BIOMEDICAL
6	RESEARCH, THERAPEUTIC, EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE,
7	RUNNING LARGE MEETINGS, FINANCIAL, FINANCING. WE
8	WERE ONLY ABLE TO CHOOSE SEVEN OR EIGHT, SO NOT
9	EVERYBODY COULD PICK ALL OF THE ATTRIBUTES THAT THEY
10	LIKE. DOES ANYBODY WANT TO ELEVATE ANY OF THESE
11	OTHER ATTRIBUTES TO HIGHER ON THE LIST?
12	I DON'T SEE ANY HANDS RAISED. IN THAT
13	CASE WE WILL USE OUR GOOD JUDGMENT, KRISTINA, TO PUT
14	SOMETHING TOGETHER FOR CONSIDERATION AT THE NEXT
15	MEETING.
16	WE CAN GO TO THE NEXT ONE, WHICH IS VICE
17	CHAIR. IT'S VERY SIMILAR TO THIS ONE. THIS ONE YOU
18	CAN SEE THE GOVERNMENT EXPERIENCE IS MUCH HIGHER.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE HAS HIS HAND
20	RAISED.
21	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: I'M SORRY, STEVE,
22	PLEASE.
23	MR. JUELSGAARD: THIS IS A QUESTION FOR
24	JAMES, AND IT RELATES BACK TO THE QUESTION I ASKED
25	EARLIER. WHEN A CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICER NOMINATES
	37

1	SOMEBODY FOR A POSITION, I TAKE IT THAT THE
2	PRESUMPTION IS THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICER HAS
3	REVIEWED THE REQUIREMENTS UNDER THE STATUTE AND HAS
4	DETERMINED IN THEIR OWN MIND THAT THIS CANDIDATE
5	MEETS THOSE REQUIREMENTS. IS THAT FAIR? SO WE ARE
6	NOT GOING TO SECOND-GUESS, FOR EXAMPLE, THE PATIENT
7	ADVOCACY EXPERIENCE OF A NOMINEE. WE ARE GOING TO
8	EXPECT THAT THE CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICER WILL HAVE
9	DONE THEIR JOB?
10	MR. HARRISON: THAT'S CORRECT.
11	MR. JUELSGAARD: OKAY. GOOD. THANKS.
12	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: ARE THERE ANY OTHER
13	MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE WHO WANT TO RAISE
14	ADDITIONAL ISSUES OR GO BACK TO ANYTHING THAT WE'VE
15	ALREADY DISCUSSED, OR RAISE NEW ISSUES, BY ANY
16	CHANCE? I'M NOT SEEING ANY HANDS. WE ARE NOT GOING
17	TO KRISTINA.
18	DR. VUORI: MAYBE JUST A QUICK COMMENT TO
19	ECHO WHAT I THINK GEORGE SAID SOME TIME AGO, THAT I
20	THINK THE SURVEY PROBABLY DEMONSTRATES THAT AT LEAST
21	ICOC MEMBERS ARE COMFORTABLE AND VIEW POSITIVELY HOW
22	THE OPERATIONS AND ORGANIZATION, BOTH THE ICOC AND
23	CIRM, ARE CURRENTLY BEING HANDLED. SO THAT'S, I
24	THINK, KUDOS TO J.T. AND ART AND MARIA, THAT THINGS
25	SEEM TO BE WORKING QUITE WELL. AND, HENCE, THE

1	APPROACH IS NOT TO BREAK ANYTHING THAT SEEMS TO BE
2	WORKING.
3	I WOULD MAKE JUST ONE COMMENT, THAT IF WE
4	INDEED START DRAMATICALLY CHANGING MAYBE THE ROLES
5	OF THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR, WE PROBABLY NEED TO
6	KEEP IN MIND THAT THE CURRENT OPERATIONS OF CIRM IN
7	AND OF ITSELF, IN MY MIND, CERTAINLY ARE FUNCTIONING
8	VERY WELL WITH THE CURRENT MODEL. SO IF WE CHANGE
9	CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR, WE PROBABLY WOULD NEED TO
10	THINK ABOUT THE IMPACT ON THE CIRM AS AN
11	ORGANIZATION AND THINK WHETHER WE REALLY WANT TO
12	START BREAKING THAT FACET. SO JUST A COMMENT TO
13	ECHO WHAT GEORGE SAID EARLIER, THAT I THINK IT'S
14	REFLECTIVE OF THE SURVEY.
15	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: THANK YOU, KRISTINA
16	AND GEORGE, YES. ANY OTHER COMMENTS? LOOKING FOR
17	HANDS THAT ARE RAISED. MARIA BONNEVILLE, SINCE
18	WE'RE NOT VOTING ON ANYTHING, ARE WE GOING TO SEE IF
19	THERE ARE QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC?
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: YES. WE DO ASK FOR
21	PUBLIC COMMENT, AND I DO HAVE ONE PUBLIC COMMENT
22	THAT CAME THROUGH VIA EMAIL THAT I'M HAPPY TO SHARE
23	NOW.
24	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: OKAY. THANK YOU.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: IT'S FROM DAVID JENSEN.
	39

1	"DOES THE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE ENVISION A ROLE FOR
2	THE NEW CHAIR AND CREATING A PATH TOWARDS THE
3	SUSTAINABILITY OF CIRM WHEN THE BOND FUNDS RUN OUT
4	IN ABOUT TEN YEARS OR SO?"
5	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: EXCELLENT QUESTION.
6	Ј.Т.
7	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: I WOULD SAY YOU SHOULD
8	PUT THAT IN THERE. I ALSO WILL CAUTION THAT WE
9	THOUGHT THE FUNDS WOULD TAKE TEN YEARS TO PUT OUT
10	LAST TIME, TOOK A LOT LONGER THAN THAT. SO WE HAVE
11	TO BE PREPARED FOR THE FACT THAT IT COULD END UP
12	BEING 10, IT COULD END UP BEING 15. YOU'RE JUST NOT
13	GOING TO KNOW UNTIL YOU GET WELL INTO IT. 5.5
14	BILLION IS A LOT OF MONEY TO DEPLOY OUT THERE. SO
15	THERE'S NO I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD ASSUME ANY SET
16	TIME FRAME. IT'S GOING TO BE EXPERIENTIAL AND JUDGE
17	IT AT THE TIME. YES, ANSWER TO THE QUESTION IS YES.
18	I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT.
19	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: I THINK FOR ANY
20	ORGANIZATION THE ISSUE OF SUSTAINABILITY SHOULD
21	ALWAYS BE TOP OF MIND. SO THAT'S A GOOD COMMENT.
22	ARE THERE ANY HANDS RAISED, MARIANNE?
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: NO, THERE ARE NOT. THAT
24	WAS THE ONLY PUBLIC COMMENT THAT WE HAVE.
25	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. SO
	40

1	ANY FINAL CONCLUDING THOUGHTS? I THINK WE'RE GOING
2	TO GIVE YOU
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: I'M SO SORRY. THERE IS
4	ONE MORE. I NEED TO FIND IT VERY QUICKLY. I WAS
5	JUST INFORMED ABOUT IT, AND I BELIEVE IT CAME
6	THROUGH EARLIER. SO JUST ONE SECOND
7	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: NO PROBLEM, MARIA.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: SO I CAN FIND IT.
9	OKAY. HERE WE GO. THIS IS FROM DON REED.
10	"FOR A NEW CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR, I HOPE,
11	FIRST OF ALL, THAT WE GET PEOPLE HALF AS HARD
12	WORKING AND DEDICATED AS OUR CURRENT LEADERS. WE
13	NEED SOMEONE WITH THE UTTER CHARM OF SENATOR TORRES,
14	THE ABILITY TO REACH ACROSS THE AISLE OF THE LATE
15	LAMENTED DWAYNE ROTH, THE WARMTH AND FRIENDLINESS OF
16	JONATHAN THOMAS, AND THE INCOMPARABLE SPIRIT AND
17	GENIUS OF BOB KLEIN. THAT IS NOT TOO MUCH TO ASK
18	FOR, SURELY. OH, IT WOULD BE ALSO HELPFUL IF THEY
19	DO NOT REQUIRE SLEEP.
20	"AS A PATIENT ADVOCATE, IT HAS BEEN A JOY
21	WATCHING OUR CIRM LEADERSHIP BATTLE THROUGH THE
22	VARIOUS PROBLEMS AND CRISES THAT HAVE CONFRONTED
23	THEM AND OUR BELOVED STAFF. I HOPE YOUR CHOICE WILL
24	HAVE THE ABILITY TO SPEAK PEOPLE TALK, TRANSLATING
25	SCIENCE'S GIGANTIC WORDS INTO LANGUAGE THE REST OF

1	
1	US CAN FOLLOW. IT IS HARD TO SUPPORT WHAT WE DO NOT
2	UNDERSTAND. WE NEED SOMEONE WHO CAN SHARE
3	ENTHUSIASM BECAUSE THERE IS SO MUCH JOY IN THE
4	DISCOVERIES BEFORE YOU. IT WILL, OF COURSE, BE
5	DIFFICULT AS ALMOST EVERY DISEASE CIRM TAKES ON IS
6	ONE THAT HAS BEEN DECLARED CHRONIC, INCURABLE. YOU
7	WILL BE HELPING TO REDEFINE WHAT IS POSSIBLE AND THE
8	WORLD WILL BENEFIT.
9	"OUR NEW LEADER'S EFFORTS WILL BE VALUABLE
10	NOT ONLY FOR CARRYING AND EXPANDING THE WORK ALREADY
11	BEGUN, BUT ALSO TO RECOGNIZE THE NEW AND EXCITING
12	DISCOVERIES AHEAD. I AM SURE I SPEAK FOR EVERY
13	ADVOCATE IN THE WORLD WHEN I EXPRESS PRIDE IN CIRM'S
14	ACCOMPLISHMENTS ALREADY AND CONFIDENCE IN WHAT IS TO
15	COME. WHEN YOU AS A BOARD MAKE THE GREAT DECISION,
16	KNOW THAT YOU HAVE OUR SUPPORT. THANK YOU. DON
17	REED."
18	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: BEAUTIFULLY SAID.
19	REALLY BEAUTIFULLY SAID. OKAY.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: THOSE ARE THE ONLY PUBLIC
21	COMMENTS. NO MORE.
22	CHAIRPERSON GASSON: THANK YOU. OKAY. IF
23	THERE ARE NO OTHER CONCLUDING THOUGHTS OR COMMENTS
24	FROM THE MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE, I WILL THANK
25	YOU ALL FOR PARTICIPATING TODAY. AND STAY TUNED AS
	42

1	WE CONTINUE ON WITH THIS JOURNEY FOR THE NEW CHAIR		
2	AND VICE CHAIR.		
3	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, JUDY.		
4	(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 10:01 A.M.)		
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	43		
	133 HENNA COURT, SANDPOINT, IDAHO 83864		

208-920-3543 DRAIBE@HOTMAIL.COM

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE GOVERNANCE SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON MAY 23, 2022, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIBED BY ME. I ALSO CERTIFY THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 920-3543

44